Appendix A

Cost Implications of Removing Food Waste from the Organic Bin in Both Authorities

Summary
Total Income/Reduced Costs

Total Costs

LDC Saving

TBC Saving

Potential Saving

Existing								
2013/14	£							
		Comments						
	183,680	The County Council pays Recycling Credit to the Service for the 4,000 tonnes it takes to the Etwall in Vessel Compostor because the Authority has the contract with Biffa Waste. The service does not receive a credit for the material taken to the other in Vessel facility at Shareshill because the County Council has the contract with the owner.						
		There is no reduction in infrastructure						
		because the Joint Waste Service is delivering to two distant IVC facilities - Etwall and Shareshill						
	U	Etwali and Shareshii.						
	0	There is no gate fee payable as the Service isnt currently using a Windrow Facility						
	181.520	The Service has to pay the Gate Fee on the 4,000 tonnes it delivers to Etwall because it has procurred the facility jointly with East Staffs and South Derbyshire.						
	101,020	mui Lust Stans and South Derbyshile.						

The income received from the Recycling
Credit covers the cost of the gate fee paid
to Biffa Waste with a small surplus

í	rioposeu							
		2014/15	2015/16	2016/17				
		£	£	£				
		Oct - Mar			Comments			
	Potential Income/reduced costs							
					Assumes that Lichfield or the Joint Waste Service procure their own contact for Windrow Composting.			
	Recycling credit claimed from Staffordshire County Council.				Based on organic waste collected 2012/13 of 19,348 tonnes and with 5%			
	recycling credit claimed from Stanordshire County Council.				reduction due to removal of food waste. Recycling credit £47.29/tonne in			
					2014/15, £48.70 in 2015/16 and £50.17 in 2016/17.			
					2014/10, £40.70 iii 2010/10 diid £00.17 iii 2010/17.			
		260,570	895,140	922,150				
	Reduction in fuel and manpower				Figures are provisional. Assumes that the collection infrastructure			
	Reduction in fuel and manpower				(Vehicles, men and fuel) can be reduced throughout the year provided a			
		35,000	120,000	120,000	local Windrow Composting facility can be procured.			
				•				
	Total Income/Reduced Costs	295,570	1,015,140	1,042,150				
	Potential Costs							
	T OTOTICAL DOGG							
	Gate fee at Windrows facility procured within the shared service				Assumes a cost of £22.50 per tonne in 2014/15, £23.17 in 2015/16 and			
	, ,	108,000	333,210	343,270	£23.87 in 2016/17. Yield of 19,348*95% less 4,000 IVC ie 14,381 tonnes			
		,	,	,				
	Gate fee at Etwall IVC facility				4,000 tonnes delivered to fulfil contractual obligations with Biffa Waste and			
	•				the 2 other partners. Gate fee of £45.38 in 2014/15, £46.74 in 2015/16 and			
		45,380	186,960	192,560	£48.14 in 2016/17.			
	Communication cost of launch - leaflets, letters, bin stickers and							
	newspaper adverts	45,000						
	Continuing education and communication reminding residents							
	that they cannot put food waste in their garden waste bin							
			20,000	20,000				
	Cost of checking bins for food contamination. There is a high risk				Initially a team will be employed for six months, five days per week to			
	that residents will continue to put food into the garden waste bin				check bins at the kerbside on a round by round basis – includes transport.			
	despite all the communication that will take place advertising the				The checks can be scaled back thereafter			
	change	40,000	25,000	15,000				
					There will be a need to resourse operatives at the Windrow facility for a			
					long time in order to remove food waste from the garden waste otherwise			
	Cost of removing food waste from garden waste				loads will be rejected. The consequences of the Animal By Products Order			
	, ,				are strict in this instance. Assumed two operatives employed five			
		05	00		days/week plus disposal cost of waste			
	Cost of propuring the Mindrey Contract	35,000	22,500	11,250	O			
	Cost of procuring the Windrow Contract	6,000	0	0	County Council and Legal Fees			
	Total Costs	279.380	587,670	582.080				
	Total Costs	279,380	587,670	582,080				

1,042,150 582,080

460,070

267,340

192,730

295,570

16,190

9,410

6,780

279,380

1,015,140

587,670

427,470

248,390

179,080

Proposed